INTRODUCTION

The thorax consists of a relatively rigid rib cage, formed by the ribs, thoracic vertebrae, and sternum.\(^1\) It encloses the thoracic cavity, forming a protective cage for the cardio-pulmonary organs, and supports attachment of the diaphragm and trunk muscles such as the internal or external oblique muscles.\(^2-4\)

Changes in the alignment of the thorax has a variety of causes, including congenital chest wall deformities such as pectus excavatum/carinatum and spine deformities such as osteoporosis with excessive kyphosis or scoliosis.\(^5-8\)

Asymmetrical lengths of the internal and external oblique muscles between the right and left sides also affect thorax alignment.\(^9\) In addition, asymmetrical length or activation of the abdominal oblique muscle can cause movement impairment syndrome such as thoracic rotation syndrome or lumbar rotation syndrome.\(^10,11\)

One method used to evaluate thorax alignment is to measure the infrasternal angle, which requires measuring...
the angle between the xiphoid process and the medial margin of the rib on each side.\textsuperscript{11} The ideal infrasternal angle is considered a reflection of the balance between the lengths of the internal and external oblique muscles.\textsuperscript{11} Contraction of the internal oblique muscles pulls the thorax towards the pelvis and widens the infrasternal angle. However, contraction of the external oblique muscles decreases the infrasternal angle.\textsuperscript{10}

Little research has been conducted on measurement of the infrasternal angle. Because chest anterior-posterior (AP) radiographic images cannot be used to identify the xiphoid process, no study has evaluated the validity of infrasternal angle measurement.\textsuperscript{1} Kim et al.\textsuperscript{1} measured the infrasternal angle using photography to investigate intra- and inter-rater reliability. In this study, we used a marker to identify the xiphoid process and measured the infrasternal angle by AP radiographic imagery. Therefore, we used radiographic methods to determine the reliability and validity of measurement of the infrasternal angle measurement.

METHODS

The subjects were 25 healthy students (11 males, 14 females) aged 22.7±1.3 years (mean±standard deviation [SD]), with a height of 166.4±6.2 cm and body weight of 63.1±10.7 kg. Subjects had no neuromuscular or cardiopulmonary disorders and no congenital disorders of the trunk such as scoliosis, rib fracture, or pectus excavatum/carinatum. Before participating in the study, the content and experimental procedures of the study were explained to all subjects. All subjects voluntarily participated in this study and signed an informed consent form.

Testing protocol

All testing procedures were performed in a hook-lying position (Figure 1). The infrasternal angle is the angle formed between the xiphoid process and the medial margin of the 10th rib on each side.\textsuperscript{11} Measurement of the infrasternal angle were conducted using both radiographic and goniometric methods, once for each subject within a session.

Radiographic measurement

Because radiographic images cannot identify the xiphoid process in chest AP X-rays, to determine the xiphoid process, the examiner set a marker at the xiphoid process. The marker was 8mm in diameter. Radiographs were taken by the same X-ray technician using a radiographic apparatus (Beam Limiting device, TOSHIBA Medical Manufacturing Co., Ltd., JAPAN). The subject was asked to lie in hook-lying position on a grid, and the X-ray technician placed a grid on the subject’s chest. This posture was maintained by all of the subjects during AP radiographs. Because the size of the ribcage changes with respiration, we acquired the x-ray image when the subject held their breath after expiration. All acquired images were analyzed using the FCR viewer program (Fuji Film Co., Ltd., JAPAN). The infrasternal angle was calculated between the center of marker and the medial margin of the 10th rib on each side of the chest X-ray (Figure 2). Infrasternal angle measurement was conducted by two testers. Tester 1 was an experienced therapists who had 10 years of clinical orthopedic physical therapy experience; tester 2 was a sophomore student in the department of physical therapy. Prior to measurements, the testers became acquainted with the infrasternal angle measurement technique and the experimental procedures by sufficient pre-training. Intra-rater reliability was calculated based on measurement values obtained by tester 1, and inter-rater reliability was calculated by comparing the first trial values of tester 1 and tester 2. To assess the intra-rater reliability, the infrasternal angle was measured on two different days with an interval of 2-3 days.
Reliability and Validity of Measurement of Infrasternal Angle by Radiographic Methods

Goniometric measurement
To assess the validity of radiographic measurement, the infrasternal angle was measured using a universal goniometer. During the infrasternal angle measurement, we checked the medial margin as a reference point; a perpendicular line was drawn from the mid-clavicle to the medial margin of the rib cage. Markers were attached on the xiphoid process and the medial margin reference point, and the axis of the goniometer was placed at the xiphoid process (Figure 3). The stationary arm and movable arms were aligned along the xiphoid process and medial margin of rib cage on each side. Measurement of the infrasternal angle was conducted by tester 1, and the mean and SD of each subject’s characteristics and infrasternal angle were calculated. Repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a post hoc test was used to test for systematic bias between the first and second measurements. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs: 3,1) were used to determine the inter-rater and intra-rater reliability of the infrasternal angle measurements. For the purpose of interpretation, an ICC>0.75 was considered “excellent”, 0.40-0.75 was “fair to good”, and 0.00-0.40 was “poor”. The standard error of measurement (SEM) was calculated for each measurement to assess absolute consistency (SEM = SD√1 – ICC). Minimal detectable difference (95% confidence interval) (MDD) scores were calculated (MDD = SEM × √2 × 1.96). Validity was assessed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r). We defined r>0.75 as “excellent”, 0.5-0.75 as “good”, 0.25-0.5 as “moderate”, and <0.25 as “poor”. The significance level was set at 0.05. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Window version 19.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.

RESULTS
The means and SDs of the infrasternal angle for each measurement technique and for testers 1 and 2 are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the first and second radiographic measurements for tester 1 (p=0.24). The intra-rater and inter-rater reliability of infrasternal angle measurement by the radiographic method were excellent. The ICCs, SEM, MDD are shown in Table 2. Radiographic measurement of the infrasternal angle was highly correlated with that of goniometric measurement (r=0.85) (Table 3, Figure 4).

DISCUSSION
This study was to determine reliability and validity of measurement of infrasternal angle by radiographic method. Our result showed excellent intra- and inter-rater reliability for radiographic measurement of the infrasternal angle (0.991 and 0.993, respectively). Though tester 2 lacked measurement experience, we found excellent inter-rater reliability following sufficient pre-training of measurement method. These results suggest that reliable infrasternal angle measurement should be possible using the radiographic

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of the infrasternal angle (N=25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement technique</th>
<th>Tester 1</th>
<th>Tester 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic measurement</td>
<td>First 86.28º±11.91º</td>
<td>87.12º±11.95º</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second 86.67º±12.33º</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goniometric measurement</td>
<td>83.36º±10.35º</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

Table 2. Intra- and inter-rater reliability of the infrasternal angle measurement by the radiographic method (N=25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC(3,1)</th>
<th>Intra-rater reliability</th>
<th>Inter-rater reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98-0.99</td>
<td>0.98-0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13º</td>
<td>0.99º</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.13º</td>
<td>2.76º</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC: intraclass correlation coefficients, CI: confidence interval, SEM: standard error of measurement, MDD: minimal detectable difference.

Table 3. Correlation of infrasternal angle measurement between radiographic and goniometric method (N=25)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic – goniometric measurement</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
method by identification of the xiphoid process. In radiographic imagery, the medial margin of 10th rib is clearly observed, such that it may be used as a landmark for infrasternal angle measurement by radiographic.

In the current clinical trial, the measurement of infrasternal angle mainly uses goniometer. The mean infrasternal angle measured using the goniometer was 83.36° and that measured using the radiographic method was 86.28° in the first trial and 86.67° in the second. Radiographic measurements of the infrasternal angle were highly correlated with goniometric measurements, and the validity of the two measurement methods was highly correlated (r=0.85). Although it was difficult to determine which measurement method was more accurate, both methods appeared highly appropriate. Further studies may be required to determine which infrasternal angle assessment tools, such as computed tomography or magnetic resonance image equipment, are most accurate.

To date, it has been impossible to identify the xiphoid process in a chest AP radiographic image to measure the infrasternal angle. Thus, many studies have measured the infrasternal angle using the goniometric or photographic method.1 Kim et al.1 reported that infrasternal angle measurements acquired by the photographic method exhibited excellent intra- and inter-rater reliability. To identify the xiphoid process in chest AP radiographic images, we used a marker of the xiphoid process. Thus, the infrasternal angle measurement by the radiographic method was possible, which makes this a highly reliable and valid measurement technique.

This study had several limitations. First, the generalizability of the results of this study is limited because subjects were young. Second, this study was conducted using small (n=25) samples. Thus, further studies are needed to confirm the reliability and validity of infrasternal angle measurement by radiographic methods in different age groups and larger samples. In addition, the selection of an appropriate reference point should be investigated to improve the precision of measurement by the radiographic method.

CONCLUSIONS

This study determined the reliability and validity of infrasternal angle measurement by the radiographic method. The intra- and inter-rater reliability were excellent, and the radiographic measurement was highly correlated with the goniometric measurement. Therefore, the radiographic method is a highly reliable and valid measurement method for infrasternal angle measurement.

Key Points

**Question** What is the reliability and validity of the infrasternal angle measured by radiographic method?

**Findings** The intra- and inter-rater reliability of infrasternal angle measurements taken by the radiographic method were excellent.

Radiographic measurement of the infrasternal angle was highly correlated with goniometric measurement.

**Meaning** The radiographic method is a highly reliable and valid tool for infrasternal angle measurement.
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