Relationship between Soccer Shooting Speed and Trunk Angles in the Frontal Plane during One-Leg Drop Landing and Standing Hip Abduction Tasks
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Background Shooting speed is a crucial skill required to score a goal against the opponent goalkeeper, but the factors influencing shooting speed have been rarely investigated.

Purpose This study aimed to explore whether the trunk angles in the frontal plane during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks could explain shooting speed.

Study design Cross sectional study

Methods We recruited elite high school soccer players to participate in the study. They performed the one-leg drop landing task and the standing hip abduction task. In addition, they were asked to perform a penalty kick shoot as strong as possible. All tasks were recorded by a smartphone camera, and shooting speed was measured outdoors on the practice field.

Results The trunk angles during one-leg drop landing on the opposite side of the kicking leg and standing hip abduction task on the same side of the kicking leg accounted for 45–50% of the variance in shooting speed for each side.

Conclusions This suggests that shooting speed is related to the strategy for controlling the trunk during weight-bearing and unstable tasks. Furthermore, the shooting speed can be evaluated through simple functional tasks and analysis, and training programs aimed at improving shooting skills can involve musculature coordination and balance training in unstable conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Shooting skills are important in soccer because the objective of the game is to score more goals. Among skills, shooting speed is a crucial element of shooting. As shooting speed increases, the likelihood that the opponent’s goalkeeper will fail to block the ball decreases, thereby increasing the probability of scoring a goal. To achieve high shooting speed, the kicking leg must be swung rapidly, and the ball must be contacted precisely while the other leg supports the body.1 Therefore, it is difficult to achieve shooting proficiency. However, the factors influencing shooting speed have rarely been investigated.

The one-leg drop landing exercise is relevant to shooting speed because both shooting and one-leg drop landing are single-leg dominant movements. According to Bozkurt and Kucuk,2 shooting speed is associated with the muscle power of the supporting leg. Similarly, during the landing phase of the drop landing exercise, the muscles of the supporting leg decrease downward movement of the body under large
loads to maintain balance.\textsuperscript{3,4} If the coordination and strength of those muscles are insufficient, a ligament-dominant strategy may be adopted, where passive structures are forced to absorb the load.\textsuperscript{5–7} This strategy, however, results in excessive strain on the ligaments. Such repetitive strain, inherent to a ligament-dominant approach, significantly heightens the risk of ligament injuries. A high knee valgus angle is one such change, commonly associated with the adoption of the ligament-dominant strategy.\textsuperscript{8} The knee valgus angle reflects the ability of the supporting leg to control the body in response to a large ground reaction force.

Lateral trunk tilt during standing hip abduction is important to maintain balance under unstable conditions.\textsuperscript{9,10} During standing hip abduction, the center of mass moves towards the non-supporting leg.\textsuperscript{11} To maintain body balance, the trunk leans away from the abducted leg; this movement would depend on muscle strength of the supporting leg.\textsuperscript{12} When the trunk leans toward the supporting leg, the abducted leg can move more efficiently. Measurement of the trunk angle in the frontal plane during standing hip abduction should provide useful information regarding the trunk position required for efficient movement of the non-supporting leg.

Analyses of joint angles using two-dimensional (2D) cameras are advantageous in terms of equipment costs and the time required to collect and analyze data.\textsuperscript{13,14} Moreover, 2D joint angle measurements are valid and reliable. Previous studies showed that 2D cameras are useful for analyzing individuals who exhibit high knee valgus angles during the performance of weight-bearing tasks.\textsuperscript{15–16} However, 2D cameras are not ideal for analyses of the knee valgus angle with hip and tibial rotation. In side-step and side-jump tasks, the mean knee valgus angle measured using 2D cameras was not strongly correlated with the angle measured using a three-dimensional system ($r^2=0.58–0.64$).\textsuperscript{17} Despite this limitation, joint angles can be accurately measured in the frontal plane using 2D cameras when rotation is minimal. An example of such movement within the frontal plane is trunk tilt. Additionally, trunk tilt towards the supporting leg is associated with the knee valgus angle during weight-bearing exercises. Previous studies reported that the peak knee abduction moment is correlated with trunk lateral tilt angle.\textsuperscript{18–20} Moreover, providing landing instruction to decrease trunk lateral motion has been shown to significantly reduce knee abduction angle in the frontal plane.\textsuperscript{21–24} Because trunk abduction is associated with less rotation during landing when compared with the knee valgus angle, lateral trunk tilt is more amenable to measurement using a 2D camera during landing. Therefore, in a 2D camera system, measuring lateral trunk tilt can be an alternative way to determine if a ligament-dominant strategy is being used during a drop landing task, rather than relying solely on knee valgus angle.

If the trunk angles during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction exercises are related to shooting speed, this crucial soccer skill could be evaluated without the need for expensive measurement and analysis equipment. The aim of this study was to determine whether trunk angles in the frontal plane during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction exercises can predict shooting speed. It was hypothesized that the trunk angles during both exercises would show a relationship with shooting speed.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

A sample size for a two-tailed hypothesis was calculated using GPower software (version 3.0.10). A minimum of 21 participants would be required, with a statistical power of 80% and a significance level of $p<0.05$. Twenty-four elite high school soccer players aged 17.0±0.8 years (mean height, 175.4±4.0 cm; mean weight, 68.2±5.9 kg) participated in this study. All participants were members of the elite high school soccer team, which is registered with the National Football Association. The mean daily exercise duration of the participants was 135.2±37.9 min. Individuals with vestibular, neurological, cardiopulmonary, psychological, or musculoskeletal disorders were excluded. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of University, and all participants provided written informed consent.

**Procedures**

In this study, the procedures, including the one-leg drop landing task, standing hip abduction task, and shooting, were performed over two days, with the order of tasks being randomized. Some participants completed the shooting and drop-landing tasks on the first day and the standing hip abduction task on the second day, while others performed the standing hip abduction task and shooting on the first day, and the drop landing task on the second day. This randomized approach ensured a balanced evaluation of each participant’s abilities in varied conditions.

We utilized the Samsung Galaxy S8 smartphone (Samsung, Seoul, South Korea) for recording both the one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks. The Galaxy S8 is equipped with a built-in camera capable of recording in up to 30 frames per second (FPS) and in 1080P resolution. For our purposes, the default camera application installed
on the device was used. The camera was positioned at the height of the participant’s pelvis to focus on the pelvic area and ensure full-body visibility. The recording was done at a standard zoom level of 1.0X. This setup provided a consistent and reliable method for capturing and analyzing the movements during the tasks. The myKicks app (Formalytics, Perth, Australia) was used for measuring shooting speed in the shooting task.

1) One-leg drop landing task
All participants wore their own soccer kits during the one-leg drop landing exercise. First, they completed a 15-min warm-up involving treadmill walking at a self-selected speed. After the participants received an explanation of the drop landing protocol, they practiced the task until they became accustomed to the drop landing height. Participants were instructed to drop down from a 40-cm box without jumping or losing their balance. The starting position of the drop landing task was a standing position with the toes of the supporting leg pointing forward; the hands were kept on the waist, and the non-supporting leg was flexed at the knee at an angle of 90° (Figure 1A). When the participants had familiarized themselves with the one-leg drop landing task, they performed it in bare feet using the right and left legs. Three drop landings were performed for both legs in a random order. A rest period of one minute was provided between each drop landing task. Additional rest time was also provided if participants requested more recovery time. If a participant did not follow the task instructions or lost their balance, or if their non-weight-bearing leg contacted the ground, the participant was asked to perform the task again. The camera of a mobile phone (Galaxy S8; Samsung, Seoul, South Korea) was used to record the exercises.

2) Standing hip abduction task
The starting position for the standing hip abduction exercise was an upright stance, with the legs and arms kept straight while looking straight ahead. Participants were asked to stand one arm length away from the wall (Figure 2A); they then abducted one leg until the foot touched the wall (Figure 2B). If the participant’s hips rotated externally or their balance was lost, the task was repeated. Participants performed the task for both the right and left legs. The order in which each leg was exercised was randomized. A rest period of 30 seconds was allowed between tasks to ensure adequate recovery. The camera of the Galaxy S8 device was used again to record all exercises.

Figure 1. Starting position (A) and position in which trunk angle is measured (i.e., when the head is lowest) during the one-leg drop landing exercise. A) While in the starting position, the participant was instructed to stand on the 40-cm step with the feet pointing forward and the hands on the waist. The non-supporting leg was flexed at 90°. B) The trunk angle was measured at the lowest head position during the landing period. When the trunk was upright, the trunk angle was 0°. The positive and negative signs indicated the trunk tilt toward the supporting and unsupported legs, respectively. The larger the absolute value of the trunk angle, the greater the degree of trunk tilt.

Figure 2. Starting position (A) and hip abduction during the standing hip abduction exercise. A) In the starting position, the participant stood upright one arm length away from the wall with the feet pointing forward and the hands on the waist. B) Each participant was asked to abduct the hip until the foot contacted the wall. The trunk angle was measured at the maximal hip abduction. When the trunk was upright, the trunk angle was 0°. The positive and negative signs represented the trunk tilt toward the supported and abducted legs, respectively. The trunk angle increased with the degree of trunk tilt.
3) Shooting Speed

Shooting speed tests were conducted outdoors on a soccer practice pitch. After a 10-min warm-up including stretching exercises and walking or jogging at a self-selected speed, participants performed penalty kicks three times with each leg. The order of kicking with the right or left foot was randomized. The target was the high central part of the goal. Participants were instructed to kick the ball with maximum force. Before the test, one practice kick was performed for each leg. The time between each shot was >10 s. If the ball missed the high central part of the goal, the attempt was considered a failure and the participant was asked to perform another penalty kick. Shooting speed was measured using the myKicks app.

Data analysis

Trunk angles during drop landing and hip abduction were obtained using Kinovea software (ver. 0.9.5; Kinovea, Bordeaux, France). During drop landing, the trunk angle was measured in the frontal plane when the head was at its lowest point. A trunk angle of 0° represents a fully upright position. When the trunk leans towards the weight-bearing leg, the trunk angle is positive. During the standing hip abduction test, the trunk angle was measured in the frontal plane using a 2D camera. For the drop landing task, the trunk angle was measured at the lowest point of the head, while for the hip abduction task, it was measured at the point of maximum trunk height (Figure 2B). Lateral tilt increases with the trunk angle during the drop landing task, and the trunk angle is between 0° and 90° (Figure 1B). Conversely, when the trunk leans towards the right side during right-leg drop landing, the trunk angle is between 0° and 90° (Figure 1B).

As stated above, each participant performed three drop landing, standing hip abduction, and penalty kick trials for each leg. Standardization was used to eliminate scale differences between participants, as follows:

\[ x_{i, \text{scaled}} = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma_i} \]

where \( x_{i, \text{scaled}} \) and \( x_i \) are the scaled and unscaled variables for each participant, respectively; \( \bar{x} \) is the mean value of a specific variable for all participants; and \( \sigma_i \) is the variance in that variable. Scaled variables were used for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Multiple linear regression models were also constructed using the Enter method, where all predictor variables for trunk angles during same-side one-leg drop landing and opposite-side standing hip abduction tasks were included at once to determine their predictive power on shooting speed for the right and left legs. All statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB software (ver. 2022a; MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). Linear regression models were fitted to the observed data using the ‘fitlm’ MATLAB function. \( P \)-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean right and left leg shooting speeds were 92.3±8.0 and 85.5±10.8 km/h, respectively (Table 1). The mean trunk angles were 4.56±6.16° and 6.83±4.49° for the right- and left-sided one-leg drop landing exercises, respectively (Table 2). In the standing hip abduction test, the mean trunk angles were 14.00±6.02° and 13.66±5.48° on the right and left sides, respectively (Table 2).

In the linear regression analysis, trunk angles during the left-sided one-leg drop landing and right-sided standing hip abduction tasks were significantly associated with the right-sided shooting speed (\( r^2=0.50, \ p<0.001; \) Figure 3A and Table 2), and those during the right-sided one-leg drop landing and the left-sided standing hip abduction tasks were significantly associated with the left-sided shooting speed (\( r^2=0.45, \ p<0.01; \) Figure 3B and Table 3).

DISCUSSION

We investigated the relationship between shooting speed and trunk angles during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction functional movement tasks. In both tasks, the trunk angle was measured in the frontal plane using a 2D camera. For the drop landing task, the trunk angle was measured at the lowest point of the head, while for the standing hip abduction task, it was measured at the point of maximum trunk height during one arm’s length. Those measured trunk angles during opposite-side drop landing and right-sided one-leg drop landing significantly predicted shooting speed.

Table 1. Participant demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject number</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>17.0±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>175.4±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68.2±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration (min per a day)</td>
<td>135.2±37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right shoot speed (km/h)</td>
<td>92.3±8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left shoot speed (km/h)</td>
<td>85.5±10.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prediction of Soccer Shooting Speed

Research focusing on factors influencing soccer shooting has been relatively scarce. Therefore, the findings make a significant contribution by identifying meaningful correlations between trunk angles in functional movement tasks and shooting speed, offering new insights into biomechanical factors that can enhance soccer performance.

In the linear models, the coefficients of trunk angle during drop landing were negative (Table 3). This suggests that the ability to maintain the trunk in a neutral position is related to shooting speed. To prevent lateral trunk tilt, the hip abductors, extensor muscles, and muscles surrounding the trunk should be controlled. Overall, our results support the findings in a previous study, which showed that balance is associated with shooting ability.

Lateral trunk tilt during one-leg drop landing is associated with knee abduction. Lateral trunk tilt towards the supporting leg increases with the peak knee abduction moment during weight-bearing functional tasks, such as landing and cutting. An increased peak knee abduction moment suggests the use of a ligament-dominant strategy, indicative of a lack of control in the muscles of the supporting leg forces.

Therefore, our result, showing that smaller trunk angles are correlated with higher shooting speeds, implies that the ability to effectively control the muscles of the supporting leg is crucial for achieving higher shooting speeds. This finding highlights the importance of muscular control over ligament reliance in the context of athletic performance.

In the standing hip abduction task, the trunk tended to lean towards the supporting leg as the shooting speed increased, as evidenced by the coefficients of the trunk angle in both linear models. Hip abduction requires activation of the gluteus medius. However, our standing hip abduction task did not require high gluteus medius strength on both sides to abduct the hip by an amount equivalent to one arm length.

Participants who exhibited greater lateral trunk tilt toward the supporting leg were better able to control the trunk position. Because shooting is executed while the body is in an unbalanced position, the ability to control the trunk is important for the maintenance of an optimal kicking leg position and achievement of high shooting speed.

The one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks were similar to the shooting movement in that the one-leg drop landing task requires weight-bearing on the sup-

Table 2. Mean trunk angles for one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One-leg drop landing</th>
<th>Standing hip abduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk angles (°)</td>
<td>4.56±6.16</td>
<td>6.83±4.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Relationships between observed and predicted right-sided (A) and left-sided (B) shooting speeds. Shooting speeds are scale values.

Figure 3. Relationships between observed and predicted right-sided (A) and left-sided (B) shooting speeds. Shooting speeds are scale values.
porting leg and the standing hip abduction task is performed under unstable conditions. Those tasks in the present study evaluated the musculature capacity of the supporting leg and the ability to control trunk during unsupported leg movement by measuring trunk angle in the frontal plane. Although 2D cameras have some limitations, we successfully measured trunk angles in the frontal plane using the built-in camera of a mobile device during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction exercises. In our findings, the trunk angles measured by 2D cameras during those simple functional movement tasks can explain shooting speed. The results suggest that the joint angles measured by 2D cameras during simple weight-bearing tasks can be used to predict shooting speed.

While providing insightful observations, our study is not without limitations. The primary constraint lies in the use of 2D cameras for the measurement of trunk angles. Although 2D imaging offers ease of use and accessibility, it inherently lacks the depth perception and accuracy of three-dimensional (3D) analysis. This may lead to potential inaccuracies in capturing complex joint movements, especially where rotation or multi-planar motions are involved. Additionally, the reliance on mobile device cameras, despite their convenience, might not offer the precision of dedicated biomechanical measurement tools. These limitations must be considered when interpreting our results, as they could influence the accuracy of the trunk angle measurements. Future studies could benefit from incorporating 3D motion analysis to validate and extend our findings.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A moderate proportion of the variance in shooting speed was explained by trunk angles in the frontal plane measured during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks. When the trunk is upright during the drop landing task and leaning towards the supported leg during the standing hip abduction, shooting speed increases. This finding suggests that the ability to control the muscles of the supporting leg during weight-bearing, and the trunk position during movement of the non-supporting leg under unstable conditions, are important determinants of shooting speed. Furthermore, the findings of this study may have practical implications for coaches and athletes who aim to enhance the shooting performance through targeted training.

**Table 3.** Results of multiple regression analysis of shooting speed and trunk angles measured on the right and left sides during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>(p) value</th>
<th>(r^2)</th>
<th>adj (r^2)</th>
<th>(F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right shoot speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk at DL\text{Lt}</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>10.7***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk at hip\text{Rt}</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left shoot speed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk at DL\text{Rt}</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>8.72**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk at hip\text{Lt}</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DL: drop landing; hip\text{abd}: standing hip abduction; Rt: right; Lt: left.

While providing insightful observations, our study is not without limitations. The primary constraint lies in the use of 2D cameras for the measurement of trunk angles. Although 2D imaging offers ease of use and accessibility, it inherently lacks the depth perception and accuracy of three-dimensional (3D) analysis. This may lead to potential inaccuracies in capturing complex joint movements, especially where rotation or multi-planar motions are involved. Additionally, the reliance on mobile device cameras, despite their convenience, might not offer the precision of dedicated biomechanical measurement tools. These limitations must be considered when interpreting our results, as they could influence the accuracy of the trunk angle measurements. Future studies could benefit from incorporating 3D motion analysis to validate and extend our findings.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A moderate proportion of the variance in shooting speed was explained by trunk angles in the frontal plane measured during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks. When the trunk is upright during the drop landing task and leaning towards the supported leg during the standing hip abduction, shooting speed increases. This finding suggests that the ability to control the muscles of the supporting leg during weight-bearing, and the trunk position during movement of the non-supporting leg under unstable conditions, are important determinants of shooting speed. Furthermore, the findings of this study may have practical implications for coaches and athletes who aim to enhance the shooting performance through targeted training.

**Table 3.** Results of multiple regression analysis of shooting speed and trunk angles measured on the right and left sides during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks

**Question** Does the lateral trunk tilt angle during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks predict soccer shooting speed?

**Findings** The lateral trunk tilt angle, as measured by a smartphone camera during one-leg drop landing and standing hip abduction tasks, can moderately explain variations in soccer shooting speed.

**Meaning** These results could inform the development of training programs focused on improving shooting performance by enhancing trunk control during weight-bearing and unstable conditions.
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