Comparison of Spatio-Temporal Parameter and Symmetry during Gait between Healthy Elderly and Young People
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**Background** Previous studies have examined the gait patterns of healthy elderly people who are able to walk without musculoskeletal pain, but results have been conflicting. Systemic diseases such as diabetes, depression, and hypertension, as well as musculoskeletal system dysfunction, can induce gait deterioration.

**Purpose** The purpose of the current study was to compare velocity, cadence, step width, stride length, center of pressure (COP) and symmetry between healthy young and elderly people who had not been diagnosed with depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes, or osteoarthritis.

**Study design** Cross-sectional study

**Methods** Twenty-four healthy elderly and twenty healthy young people participated in this study. A force distribution plate was used to obtain data on spatiotemporal parameters, COP, and gait symmetry. The groups were compared using independent t-tests.

**Results** There was no significant difference in walking velocity, step width, stride length, symmetry of step length, foot rotation, length of gait, single support line, or lateral symmetry between the elderly and young groups (p>0.05). However, the elderly group showed higher cadence and larger anterior–posterior sway than the young group (mean difference=8.98 steps/min, p<0.01; and mean difference=5.88 mm, p=0.04, respectively).

**Conclusions** The gait patterns of healthy elderly people without depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes, or musculoskeletal were similar in terms of spatiotemporal parameters and symmetry to those of healthy young people, except for cadence and anterior–posterior sway. Based on these findings, clinicians should inform elderly people about the importance of maintaining fitness to prevent deterioration of gait.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Elderly people exhibit slower, shorter, and wider steps compared to young people, to increase stability and energy efficiency and avoid falling.1,2 Such gait adaptations are related to increased fall risk during daily activities.3 Previous studies have suggested that aging is not the main factor causing gait deterioration; rather, a fear of falling is more likely to alter gait patterns.4,5

Some studies have compared spatiotemporal gait parameters between young and elderly populations, but results have been conflicting. A shorter stride length and greater step width have been reported in healthy elderly compared to young populations, during both treadmill and overground walking.6,8 However, another study demonstrated similar cadence and step length between a healthy elderly and young group at slow, fast, and preferred walking speeds, although double limb support was increased in the elderly group.9 Moreover, the healthy elderly group showed higher cadence than the young group, which was interpreted as a
compensatory walking strategy, although another study showed no difference in cadence between healthy elderly and young groups at a self-selected walking speed.\textsuperscript{10,11}

Gait symmetry has a tendency to decrease with age; this decrease is greater in elderly fallers than in healthy elderly people, and is associated with a low level of independence in daily activities such as feeding, bathing, transfer, and dressing, as well as with continence disorders.\textsuperscript{12,13} Gait symmetry has been investigated to determine the cause of altered gait patterns in elderly populations, but results have been conflicting.\textsuperscript{2} In studies using a trunk accelerometer, elderly people showed less symmetry during gait than younger people,\textsuperscript{14,15} whereas another study showed no significant association between age and gait symmetry within a healthy elderly population.\textsuperscript{16} With regard to the center of mass, or center of pressure (COP), healthy elderly people showed less symmetry of anterior–posterior acceleration at the center of mass compared to young people, but similar symmetry of medio/lateral direction change.\textsuperscript{17}

In the previous studies of altered spatiotemporal parameters and gait asymmetry mentioned above, the definition of “healthy” varied, where the criteria included the absence of locomotor system pathologies, no medical history, sufficient mobility and strength of the musculoskeletal system for gait, ability to walk and perform activities of daily living independently, and absence of major cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and neurological conditions.\textsuperscript{10,18,19} However, diabetes, depression, and dipper hypertension in elderly people can also reduce gait velocity and stride length compared to healthy controls.\textsuperscript{20–22} Thus, in this study, the healthy elderly participants did not have diabetes, depression, hypertension, dementia, or musculoskeletal disorders. The purpose of the study was to compare the walking velocity, cadence, step width, stride length, COP variables, and gait symmetry between pre-screened healthy elderly and young people. We hypothesized that the gait parameters and symmetry of the two groups would be similar.

### METHODS

**Participants**

The purpose and procedures of the study were explained to the participants, all of whom signed the approved consent form. This study was approved by the Jeonju University Campus Human Studies Committee. A sample size of at least 16 subjects per group was needed for a power of 0.75, assuming an effect size of $d=0.6$ and significance level of 0.05.\textsuperscript{23} We recruited 24 healthy elderly and 20 healthy young people from three local clubs and Jeonju University (Table 1). The inclusion criteria were as follows: aged over 50 years for the elderly and in the 20s for the young group; able to walk for at least 1 hour, and to step up and down in daily living, without assistance of any kind or musculoskeletal pain in the lower back or lower limbs; no known clinical history of falling or psychiatric, neurological, musculoskeletal, or cardiovascular problems; and not taking any regular medication. All elderly participants were screened by physicians to confirm their self-reported diagnoses and/or prescribed medications; those with depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes, osteoarthritis, etc. were excluded. All participants preferred to use the right lower limb when initiating gait or kicking a ball; hence, that limb was considered dominant.\textsuperscript{24,25}

**Instruments**

A Zebris FDM 1.5 force distribution plate (ZEBRIS GmbH, Isny, Germany) was used to measure gait parameters and COP during gait. This measuring plate has 11,264 force sensors and a sampling frequency of 100 Hz. The gait measurement system, comprising a 3-meter-long pressure plate connected to two FDM plates, has high within- and between-day reliability (intraclass correlation coefficients $> 0.86$).\textsuperscript{26} The system represents the gait pattern as a two- or three-dimensional butterfly graph based on COP trajectories during gait. The gait analysis system takes account of foot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Subject’s characteristics</th>
<th>Elderly (N=24)</th>
<th>Young (N=20)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>3/21</td>
<td>6/14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.04±5.68</td>
<td>22.30±0.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>161.69±6.58</td>
<td>163.90±6.18</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60.29±8.76</td>
<td>56.40±7.61</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>22.97±2.09</td>
<td>20.94±2.16</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation.
rotation, i.e., rotation toward (−) and away from (+) the longitudinal axis of the foot during gait; step length; step width; gait velocity; cadence; gait length, i.e., the length of COP movement during the entire stance phase; single support line, i.e., the length of COP movement during single-leg support; anterior–posterior sway, i.e., the longitudinal distance between the COP intersection point and the midpoint connecting the heels of both feet; and lateral symmetry, i.e., the horizontal distance from the COP intersection point to the midpoint of the horizontal line connecting the COP lines of both feet. Negative and positive values for lateral symmetry indicate shifts to the left and right, respectively.27

Procedure

All participants in both groups were asked to walk barefoot, at a comfortable walking pace, over the 3-meter-long pressure plate 10 times to familiarize themselves with the equipment.28 Participants then completed 10 trials at a self-selected pace, with the gait parameters recorded in the middle of the eighth trial.29 Anterior–posterior sway and lateral symmetry were calculated automatically by WinPDM software (ver. 1.2.2; Mitel, Ottawa, Canada). To calculate the symmetry of the gait parameters (step length, foot rotation, length of gait, and single support line), the symmetry index, which is the most sensitive measurement of gait symmetry based on spatiotemporal parameters, was used.29

The formula for calculating the symmetry index is \( \frac{X_{\text{side1}} - X_{\text{side2}}}{0.5 \times (X_{\text{side1}} + X_{\text{side2}})} \times 100. \) The symmetry index is expressed as a percentage, with a value of zero indicating perfect symmetry.30

Statistical analysis

The weight, velocity, cadence, and lateral symmetry variables were parametric; all other variables were non-parametric. To compare anthropometric data, step width, stride length, velocity, cadence, and gait symmetry between the elderly and young groups, independent t-tests and the Mann–Whitney U test were used for normally and non-normally distributed variables, respectively. The level of statistical significance was set at \( p<0.05. \) SPSS software (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

RESULTS

The normality of the dependent variables was assessed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. If the data represents a normal distribution, perform an independent t-tests; otherwise, perform a Mann–Whitney U test to compare anthropometric data, step width, stride length, velocity, cadence, and gait symmetry between the elderly and young groups.

The body mass index (BMI) was higher in the elderly group compared to the young group (mean difference=2.02 kg/m², 95% confidence interval [CI]=0.72–3.32 kg/m², \( p<0.01 \)) (Table 1).

There was no significant group difference in velocity, step width, or stride length (\( p=0.05 \)). Furthermore, there was no significant group difference in the symmetry of step length, foot rotation, length of gait, single support line, or lateral symmetry (\( p>0.05 \)). The elderly group showed higher cadence than the young group (mean difference=8.98 steps/min, 95% CI=3.56–14.4 steps/min, \( p<0.01 \)), and also had a higher anterior–posterior sway value (mean difference=5.88 mm, 95% CI = 0.40–11.36 mm, \( p=0.04 \)) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to compare gait parameters and symmetry between healthy elderly and healthy young individuals. Significant group differences were seen in cadence and anterior–posterior sway. However, interestingly, the healthy elderly people, who were screened for pathologies, demonstrated similar walking velocity, step width, stride length, and gait symmetry to those of young people. These findings suggest that elderly people who are healthy and fit may be able to avoid deterioration of gait parameters and symmetry with age.

There was no significant group difference in walking velocity, step width, or stride length between the healthy elderly and healthy young groups in this study. In accordance with this result, a previous study demonstrated similar preferred walking speed, stride length, and stride velocity during the straight walking and turning phases of the 6-minute walk test between fit older and younger participants.31,32 However, in contrast to the current results, another study reported shorter stride length and slower gait speed in healthy older people than younger people during walking at a self-selected speed.18 A systematic review indicated that match-speed analysis would be required to analyze stride length and gait speed independently. The review also indicated that elderly people can walk at the same speed as young people, albeit with an increased contribution of the hip and decreased contribution of the ankle.33 The current study found no significant group difference in stride length in statistically similar speed between groups. Although we did not analyze the kinematics and kinetics of the hip, knee, or ankle, altered hip kinetics might remain similar in velocity, step width, and stride length due to compensation for the decreased contribution of the ankle.
as similar with a previous study.\textsuperscript{33}

There was no significant group difference in symmetry of step length, foot rotation, or COP parameters in this study. Similarly, a previous study demonstrated no significant difference in symmetry of step length, foot rotation, or gait length between older patients with unilateral knee osteoarthritis and healthy older controls, although there was a difference in lateral symmetry.\textsuperscript{34} Healthy elderly participants showed reduced lateral symmetry (0.65 mm) relative to healthy older participants (–2.6 mm) in a previous study.\textsuperscript{34} These differences in results might reflect differences in the study inclusion criteria; the healthy elderly participants in the current study had no musculoskeletal pain in the lower back or lower limbs, and no medical history of depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes, or osteoarthritis, whereas in the previous study the elderly participants only had to be free of knee pain during gait to be classified as healthy. Regarding symmetry of step length, in a small previous study (10 subjects per group), healthy older people who were able to walk for at least 20 minutes with no orthopedic abnormalities, and who had a history of at least one fall within the previous 2 years, showed greater asymmetry in step length during preferred-speed walking than did young people.\textsuperscript{7} The current study recruited elderly participants with no lifetime fall history who were able to walk for at least 1 hour, and go up and down stairs, without pain. The participants in the current study were thus more fit than those in the previous study, which may explain the similar symmetry index values between the elderly and young groups. However, it is difficult to conclude based on these data alone that healthy elderly people walk more symmetrically than those who are less healthy; future research should compare gait symmetry according to fitness levels in healthy older populations.

High-functioning healthy older adults were able to walk with relatively high cadence (>100 steps/min) in a free-living setting,\textsuperscript{35} and a narrative review suggested that a cadence of >100 steps/min is a reasonable threshold for defining moderate-intensity walking in healthy adults.\textsuperscript{36} Another previous study reported higher cadence (111.63 step/min) in a healthy older group with no pathologies compared to a young group (106.84 step/min).\textsuperscript{11} In the current study, the healthy elderly group (112.58 step/min) showed significantly higher cadence than the young group (103.60 step/min).\textsuperscript{11} The current study recruited elderly participants with no lifetime fall history who were able to walk for at least 1 hour, and go up and down stairs, without pain. The participants in the current study were thus more fit than those in the previous study, which may explain the similar symmetry index values between the elderly and young participants. However, it is difficult to conclude based on these data alone that healthy elderly people walk more symmetrically than those who are less healthy; future research should compare gait symmetry according to fitness levels in healthy older populations.

Table 2. Comparison of gait parameters and symmetry value between young and elderly group during gait

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Elderly</th>
<th>Young</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step width (cm)</td>
<td>9.79±2.57</td>
<td>10.70±3.21</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride length (cm)</td>
<td>110.67±11.41</td>
<td>110.0±10.24</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (km/h)</td>
<td>3.75±0.42</td>
<td>3.60±0.39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadence (steps/min)</td>
<td>112.58±7.29</td>
<td>103.60±10.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry of step length (%)</td>
<td>3.99±3.83</td>
<td>3.26±2.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry of foot rotation (%)</td>
<td>88.83±97.01</td>
<td>71.09±72.71</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry of length of gait line of COP (%)</td>
<td>2.68±2.75</td>
<td>2.61±2.55</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry of single support line of COP (%)</td>
<td>5.29±3.84</td>
<td>5.03±3.12</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior/posterior position of COP (mm)</td>
<td>141.74±9.01</td>
<td>135.86±8.85</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral symmetry of COP (mm)</td>
<td>0.65±3.95</td>
<td>0.33±3.02</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation. Abbreviations: COP, center of pressure.
Our elderly group showed more anterior–posterior instability during gait compared to the young group. This increase in anterior–posterior sway could be associated with fall risk, as suggested by a previous study.\(^3\) In addition, higher BMI could have a greater influence on anterior–posterior COP sway in elderly than young people, because increased body weight and waist circumference increased anterior sway in a previous study.\(^3\)

The first limitation of this study was that the gait measurement duration was short. When measured over more than 30 minutes, gait asymmetry may be greater, and walking stability lower, in older adults.\(^23\) The second limitation was that the gait parameters, COP variables, and gait symmetry findings may not generalize to individuals not aged 50–70 years or in their 20s (i.e., the ages of our older and younger groups, respectively). Thirdly, we did not consider the effects of strength, flexibility and alignment such as genu valgus or varus on gait symmetry. Previous studies have demonstrated that asymmetry of the knee extensors and iliotibial band flexibility can induce asymmetry of gait and foot alignment;\(^19,40\) further research is needed on this. Lastly, current study did not measure the joint kinematics with spatio-temporal parameter, so future study would be needed for young and older adults.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, healthy elderly people with no medical history of depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes, osteoarthritis, or musculoskeletal pain during walking, or when going up or down stairs, had similar walking velocity, step width, stride length, and gait symmetry to healthy young people; differences were only seen in higher cadence and anterior–posterior sway in elderly than young group. Based on these findings, clinicians should inform elderly people about the importance of maintaining fitness and managing the musculoskeletal system to prevent deterioration of gait.

Key Points

- **Question** Do healthy elderly individuals without depression, hypertension, dementia, diabetes as well as musculoskeletal pain have similar gait spatiotemporal parameters and symmetry to healthy young people?

- **Findings** There was no significant difference in walking velocity, step width, stride length, or gait symmetry between healthy elderly and healthy young people. Elderly people showed higher cadence and greater anterior–posterior sway compared to young people.

### Meaning

These findings suggest that if elderly people are healthy and fit, deterioration of gait parameters and symmetry can be avoided.
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